



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,760	02/25/2002	Carl P. Morse	SAA-70	4401
7590	02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
SQUARE D COMPANY 1415 South Roselle Road Palatine, IL 60067			JANKUS, ALMIS R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2671	4

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/082,760	MORSE, CARL P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Almis R Jankus	2671

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2002.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-11, 14-33, 36-46 and 49-53 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 12, 13, 34, 35, 47, 48, 54 and 55 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-55 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 1-11, 14-33, 36-46, and 49-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Engdahl.

With respect to claim 1, Engdahl teaches the claimed method, for a factory process comprising a plurality of tasks, to permit monitoring of the process, the method comprising displaying the factory process in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the process as a whole, at column 2 line 9 to column 3 line 24, the “free camera” being taught at the abstract, with the user being able to move within the virtual factory floor among the spatially linked objects; and selectively displaying each of the tasks in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the respective task, at column 3 lines 3-8.

Claim 2 further requires selectively displaying data representative of a status of the displayed process. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Claim 3 further requires selectively displaying data representative of a status of one of the displayed tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 39-52.

Claim 4 further requires selectively displaying data representative of a status a plurality of the displayed tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 2 lines 22-43, with the claimed tasks corresponding to machines.

Claim 5 further requires the process to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the parameter of the factory process. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Claim 6 further requires one of the tasks to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the controllable parameter of the task. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Claim 7 further requires a plurality of the tasks to have a controllable parameter and the method to include selectively controlling the controllable parameter of each of the tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Claim 8 further requires one of the tasks to have a sub-task and the method to include selectively displaying the sub-task in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the respective task. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28 with the sub-task being a physical movement of the machine, for example.

Claim 9 further requires the sub-task to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the controllable parameter of the sub-task. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28.

Claim 10 further requires a plurality of the tasks to have a respective plurality of sub-tasks and the method to include selectively displaying the sub-tasks in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the respective sub-tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28.

Claim 11 further requires each of the sub-tasks to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the controllable parameter of the sub-tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28.

Claims 14-22 and 49-53 recite features corresponding to claims 1-11; thus, claims 14-22 and 49-53 are rejected using rationale presented above for respective features of claims 1-11.

Claims 23-33 are similar to claims 1-11 but further require a computer readable medium. Engdahl teaches using a computer readable medium at columns 11-12.

Claims 36-46 are similar to claims 1-11 but further require a system. Engdahl teaches this at column 11.

4. Claims 12-13, 34-35, 47-48, and 54-55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almis R Jankus whose telephone number is 703-305-9795. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman can be reached on 703-305-9798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AJ



ALMIS R. JANKUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER